

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/822,234	CUDDIHY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Son M. Tang	2612	

All Participants:

(1) Son M. Tang.

(2) Jenifer Haeckl (Attorney).

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 August 2006

Time: 1:00pm.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: . . .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-2,8,11,15-19 and 25

Prior art documents discussed:


Ichikawa [US 5,153,560]

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant authorized the attached Examiner Amendment to place application in condition for allowance. See attached Examiner Amendment for detail.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)